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Introduction The enlarged parietal foramen (EPF) is a defect in the ossification of the parietal bone that is well described in the literature using a variety of nomenclatures. Individuals with EPF can present symptoms such as migraines, vomiting and intense pain when light pressure is applied to the skull. However, it can go unnoticed for a lifetime. Materials and Methods At the Human Bone Collection department of the Universidade Federal de Pernambuco, 2 craniums (CAV 90, 96 years old and CAV 16, 81 years old) and were identified as having EPF, both from females. Results There was no apparent kinship between both craniums. The sagittal length, the coronal width, the sagittal suture distance, the coronal suture distance and the lambdoid suture distance of each enlarged parietal foramen were evaluated, with the following results: sagittal length: 5.5 cm (CAV 90), and 5.0 cm (CAV 16); coronal width: 3.1 cm (CAV 90), and 3.4 cm (CAV 16); sagittal suture distance: 2.9 cm (CAV 90), and 2.3 cm (CAV 16); coronal suture distance: 1.8 cm (CAV 90), and 4.6 cm (CAV 16); and lambdoid suture distance: 5.0 cm (CAV 90), and 3.0 cm (CAV 16). The parietal foramen of both craniums exhibited equivalent measurements. Conclusion Due to the low incidence of EPF, the identification of the 2 craniums with this condition in a collection of 105 skeletons makes the discovery relevant. In reference to craniums exhibiting EPF, this is an important tool for study and forensic research, since its appearance is linked to heredity.


## Introduction

Enlarged parietal foramina (EPFs) are variable intramembranous ossification defects of the parietal bones, first described in 1707, and which have received little attention until the 1940s. ${ }^{1,2}$ In normal fetuses, the frontal, parietal, and squamous parts of the temporal bones undergo intramembranous ossification, a direct ossification of the vascularized membrane. Broca, in 1875, ${ }^{3,4}$ had already pointed out an unusual enlargement of the parietal foramina due to a failure in the development of the parietal bones. ${ }^{5}$ This neurocranial
abnormality was recently reported in China for a Pleistocene human fossil, Xujiayao 11, which constitutes the oldest evidence in human evolution of this very rare condition. ${ }^{6}$ This defect is known by various names, such as parietal foramina, symmetric parietal foramina, giant foramina parietalia permagna, cranium bifidum occultum and hereditary cranium bifidum.

Enlarged parietal foramina are located in the upper posterior angle of the parietal bone, close to the intersection of the sagittal and lambdoid sutures between the posterior third and the middle third of the sagittal suture, ${ }^{7}$ and appear
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as symmetric, paired radiolucencies on skull radiographs. Enlarged parietal foramina develop as single ossification defects involving both parietal bones followed by a variable reduction in the size of the foramina with the somatic growth during early childhood. ${ }^{8}$

Enlarged parietal foramina may appear without any associated abnormality ${ }^{9}$ or along with associated congenital bone defects, ${ }^{10,11}$ soft tissue pathologies, ${ }^{12}$ an underlying neural deficit, ${ }^{13}$ or as part of a metabolic syndrome. ${ }^{14}$ It may be a variant of cranium bifidum. The condition undoubtedly results from familial inheritance due to the homeobox gene ALX4. ${ }^{15}$ Individuals with EPF have experienced symptoms of violent headaches, vomiting and intense pain on application of mild pressure to the unprotected cerebral cortex. ${ }^{2,16}$

## Materials and Method

The present study was performed with bones from the Human Bone Collection department of Universidade Federal do Pernambuco, state of Pernambuco, Brazil. A total of 75 skulls were examined, of which 40 were male and 35 female. We identified 2 female skulls, 81 and 96 years old, that exhibited signs of EPF. The parameters analyzed were the sagittal and coronal diameters of the EPFs and the distances between the extremities of the foramina and the sagittal, coronal and lambdoid sutures. The measurements were taken with a manual pachymeter with an accuracy of 150 mm . All the procedures for data collection were authorized by the Ethics Committee of the of the Center of Health Sciences of Universidade Federal de Pernambuco (CCS/UFPE, in the Portuguese acronym), under the CAAE number 43228015.0.0000.5208.

## Results

The 2 identified skulls correspond to $2.66 \%$ of the total skulls in the collection. On the CAV90 ( - Fig. 1), the right foramen was oval with regular edges, having a 5.34 cm sagittal diameter, and a 2.99 cm coronal diameter. It was 3.22 cm from the sagittal suture, 1.74 cm from the coronal suture, and 5.25 cm from the lambdoid suture. The left foramen was oval, having a 5.61 cm sagittal diameter, and 3.27 cm coronal diameter. It was 2.50 cm from the sagittal suture, 1.87 cm from the coronal suture, and 4.65 cm from the lambdoid suture ( $\boldsymbol{-}$ Table $\mathbf{1}$ ).

On the other skull, CAV16 ( - Fig. 2), the right foramen was oval with regular edges, having a 5.79 cm sagittal diameter, and a 3.76 cm coronal diameter. It was 2.04 cm from the sagittal suture, 4.44 cm from the coronal suture, and 2.36 cm from the lambdoid suture. The left foramen was oval, having a 4.18 cm sagittal diameter, and a 2.95 cm coronal diameter. It was 2.46 cm from the sagittal suture, 4.79 cm from the coronal suture, and 3.73 cm from the lambdoid suture (- Table 1).

## Discussion

Enlarged parietal foramina are uncommon structures. However, they are well reported in the literature. ${ }^{17}$ The prevalence of EPF is $1: 15,000$ or $1: 25,000 .{ }^{18}$ Usually, these parts


Fig. 1 Female skull, 96 years old, with enlarged parietal foramen.
ossify in the fifth month of gestation. When there is insufficient ossification around the parietal notch, they end up as large permanent foramina. ${ }^{16}$ On cranium CAV16, the EPFs were translucent and of different sizes. At the level of the obelion, ${ }^{19}$ at 2.46 cm from the lambda, there were two parietal emissary foramina, one on each side of the sagittal suture, the right measuring 0.29 cm , and the left 0.20 cm . Individuals with EPF have a high probability of mutation in the MSX2 or in the ALX4 genes. ${ }^{15}$ The analyzed craniums make it impossible to know the family origin for a possible comparison between them and other members of the family. Most people with EPF have a positive family history, since the condition is inherited in an autosomal dominant fashion with high but incomplete penetrance. ${ }^{16}$ Because it is autosomal dominant, it can help forensic identification once the relatives of the victims are aware of its existence. ${ }^{20}$

On the second cranium, CAV90, the EPFs were not translucent and had different sizes. The average length was 5.0 cm , and the average width was 3.4 cm . Reddy et al ${ }^{13}$ reported that foramen can be considered enlarged when $>5 \mathrm{~mm}$. Circular, oval, or slit-like EPF measuring several centimeters in diameter or length are rare in the literature. ${ }^{21,22}$ At the level of the obelion, there were 2 parietal emissary foramina, the nearest to the medium sagittal suture with 0.21 cm and the most lateral with 0.13 cm , both on the left side. The EPF represents a parietal bone ossification anomaly independent of the coexistence of other small parietal foramina on the bone. ${ }^{23}$ The genetic mutation that determines the

Table 1 Measurements (cm) of the enlarged parietal foramina

| Cranium | Laterality | Sagittal <br> length | Coronal <br> width | Distance from <br> the sagittal suture | Distance from the <br> coronal suture | Distance from the <br> lambdoid Suture |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| CAV90 | Right | 5.34 | 2.99 | 3.22 | 174 | 5.25 |
|  | Left | 5.61 | 3.27 | 2.5 | 1.87 | 465 |
| Average | 5.5 | 3.1 | 2.9 | 1.8 | 5.0 |  |
| CAV16 | Right | 5.79 | 3.76 | 2.04 | 4.44 | 2.36 |
|  | Left | 4.18 | 2.95 | 2.46 | 4.79 | 3.73 |
| Average | 5.0 | 3.4 | 2.3 | 4.6 | 3.0 |  |



Fig. 2 Female skull, 81 years old, with enlarged parietal foramen.
appearance of EPF occurs due to a defect in the membranous ossification of the cranium, which is directly related to the development of the cranium, face, hair follicles and genitals. ${ }^{24}$ In the two analyzed cases, the EPFs were closed as a result of the growth of the cranium with advancing age. The presence of the EPFs can be associated with other osseous variations and malformations.

## Conclusion

We believe that the description of cases referring to the presence of EPFs in collections of bones can contribute to the forensic research in order to assist in kinship identification, since an EPF is an inherited autosomal dominant congenital malformation. Forensic experts and other
researchers need to be aware of these anatomical variations. This type of investigation corroborates the statistics in identifying the frequency with which these foramina currently appear.
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